EMERY CELLI BRINCKERHOFF & ABADY LLP

RICHARD D. EMERY ANDREW G. CELLI, JR. MATTHEW D. BRINCKERHOEF JONATHAN S. ABADY EARLS WARD ILANN M. MAAZEL O ANDREW F WILSON KATHERINE ROSENEELD ELIZABETH S. SAYLOR DEBRA L. GREENBERGER ZOE SALZMAN SAM SHAPIRO VASUDHA TALLA JENNIFER M. KEIGHLEY ALISON FRICK DAVID LEBOWITZ HAYLEY HOROWITZ

ATTORNEYS A CLAW 75 ROCKHOLLING PLAZA, 2019 FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10019 TELEPHONE (212) 763-5000 FACSIMILE (212) 763-5001 WEB ADDRESS www.ecbalaw.com

A FMOARCED CHARLES J. OGLETREE, JR. DIANE L. HOUK

MEMO ENDORSED

By ECF and First Class Mail

The Honorable James C. Francis United States Magistrate Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007 March 5, 2014
USDS SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:

Re: Nunez, et al., v. City of New York, et al., 11 Civ. 5845 (LTS) (JCF)

Dear Judge Francis:

This office, together with The Legal Aid Society Prisoners' Rights Project and Ropes & Gray LLP, is counsel for the Plaintiff Class in this matter, and this office, together with The Legal Aid Society Prisoners' Rights Project, is counsel for the Plaintiffs in their individual damages claims.

We write to seek clarification regarding one aspect of the Court's order of February 18, 2014, Dkt # 118 (the "Order"), about the time allowed to depose the 15 supervisory Defendants and 13 non-party witnesses in Plaintiffs' discovery plan (copy attached as Exhibit A). The Order limited to 4 hours "the depositions of named plaintiffs and named defendants who were allegedly involved in the use of force incidents at issue, as well as non-party witnesses testifying about such specific incidents." Order at 2. However, the 15 supervisory Defendants and 13 non-party witnesses listed in Plaintiffs' discovery plan were not participants in, or witnesses to, the incidents at issue. Rather, the testimony from these individuals is directed to establishing the City's *Monell* liability and individual Defendants' supervisory liability. Especially since this case covers nearly the entire New York City jail system, Plaintiffs believe that the number of issues to be covered during these depositions may well require up to six (6) hours of testimony.

For example, Plaintiffs intend to question the supervisory Defendants regarding their knowledge of the level and intensity of use of force in the City Jails, the steps taken to address these issues on a facility-level or system-wide basis, and the discipline and re-training of officers involved. With respect to DOC policies, Plaintiffs intend to ask about the actual knowledge of the supervisory Defendants, and DOC Central Office and ID personnel (who are among the 13 non-party deponents), about those policies, including whether the deponents receive training on those policies, whether the deponents actually follow those policies, and how those policies are actually implemented in

Case 1:11-cv-05845-LTS-JCF Document 122 Filed 03/06/14 Page 2 of 3

Case 1:11-cv-05845 LTS-JCF Document 121 Filed 03/05/14 Page 2 of 2-,

Plaintiffs have explained their view to the City. The City has taken a position contrary to Plaintiffs' understanding of this aspect of the Order, and has advised that it will file a response within three business days of its receipt of this letter.

We regret having to trouble the Court with respect to such an issue, but in light of the parties' differing views, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court clarify that the 4-hour limitation for depositions does not cover the depositions of the 15 supervisory Defendants and the 13 non-party witnesses, and that Plaintiffs may depose such deponents for up to 6 hours.

We appreciate the Court's attention.

ROPES & GRAY LLP William I. Sussman Christopher P. Conniff Amanda N. Raad Lisa Covle Joseph G. Cleemann Anna Friedberg Counsel for Plaintiffs Rodney Brye, Shameik Smallwood, Travis Woods, and Oscar Sanders in their capacities as Class representatives

Respectfully,

EMERY CELLI BRINCKERHOFF

&:ABADY

Katherine Rosenfeld

THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY

Jonathan S. Chasan Mary Lynne Werlwas

Counsel for Plaintiffs Mark Nunez, Rodney Brve, Shameik Smallwood, Oscar Sanders, Travis Woods, Ralph Nunez, Keith Bacote, Jose DeGros, Christopher Graham, Sonny Ortiz, Clifford Sewell, and Leslie Pickering

cc: All counsel (by ECF and First-Class Mail)

does no

the DOC Jails. With respect to investigations, Plaintiffs intend to question wardens, supervisory Defendants and ID personnel about use of force incidents that they investigated, including why certain incidents were classified as they were, the reasons behind the investigative steps taken or not taken, the conclusions drawn as a result of the investigations, and whether and why any subsequent steps, such as discipline or re-training, were taken or not taken. In addition, the Second Amended Complaint alleges a number of unwritten policies and practices that will be established through deposition testimony. The above are just examples, but they demonstrate why six hours may well be needed. Plaintiffs explained all of this to the City when conferring about this issue.

Case 1:11-cv-05845-LTS-JCF Document 122 Filed 03/06/14 Page 3 of 3 Case 1:11-cv-05846Ft. AS-BCAN Decirited 03/06/14 Page 3 of 1

	PHASE I ¹ ~ 29 Depositions taken by Plaintiffs		Status Conference for Case Evaluation/ Settlement	<u>PHASE II</u> ~ 35 Depositions taken by Plaintiffs		Status Conference for Case Evaluation/ Settlement	PHASE III ~ 33 Depositions taken by Plaintiffs		Status Conference for Case Evaluation/ Settlement
Plaintiffs' Discovery	Named Defendants Implicated in Individual Use of Force Incidents (25)	C. Graham: Complete - 1 depo taken		Named Defendants Implicated in Individual Use of Force Incidents (14)	L. Pickering: 2 Defendants	June 16 ~20, 2014	Named Defendants Implicated in Individual Use of Force Incidents Open Investigations (13) Named Supervisory Defendants (11) Non-Party Witnesses (1)	O. Sanders: 5 Defendants	
		R. Nunez: Complete - 2 depos taken			K. Bacote for 10/2010 incident: 6 Defendants			S. Ortiz: 2 Defendants	
		J. DeGros: Complete - 2 depos taken			R. Brye for 2012 incident: 4 Defendants			R. Brye for 2011 incident: 4 Defendants	
		S. Smallwood: Complete - 4 depos taken M. Nunez: 2 depos taken, 3 depos noticed, 1 to be noticed T. Woods: 1 depo taken, 4 depos confirmed, 1 depo noticed K. Bacote for 4/2010 incident: 3 depos confirmed, 1 depo noticed			T. Woods: 2 Defendants			C. Sewell: 2 Defendants Dora Schriro Evelyn Mirabal Larry Davis, Sr. Mark Scott Michael Hourihane Carmine LaBruzzo Current Deputy Chief of Security Florence Finkle Carlton Newton Robert Cripps Ronald Jorgensen	
	Named Supervisory Defendants (4)	Rose Agro-Doyle Kathleen Mulvey Emmanuel Bailey Edmond Duffy		Named Supervisory Defendants (0)					
	Non-Party Witnesses (4)	Dr. Homer Venters Amanda Masters 30(b)(6) regarding video surveillance policies 30(b)(6) regarding training		Non-Party Witnesses (8)	Ruben Benitez Sean Cussen Janet Gooding Erik Berliner Brian Suprenant Sabina Blaskovic Mark Cranston Lewis Finkleman			Linda Gibbs	
Defendants' Discovery	Deposition of Plaintiffs	Christopher Graham, Ralph Nunez, Shameik Smallwood, Jose DeGros		Deposition of Plaintiffs	TBD		Deposition of Plaintiffs	TBD	
	Other Depositions	TBD		Other Depositions	TBD		Other Depositions	TBD	

1 As of March 5, 2014.

.